

REMARKS

In the Office Action, claim 7 is objected to because of its dependency upon a canceled claim. Claim 7 has been revised to reflect its dependency on pending claim 27. The numbering sequence for the previously presented claims has been corrected so as to include claim 30 which had inadvertently been omitted in the numbering of previously presented claims. Hereinafter, all references to claim numbers will refer to corrected numbering sequence.

In the present response, claims 34 and 35 have been added. Support for these amendments is found throughout the originally submitted application. Therefore, claims 3-7, 9-11, 13-14, 18-20, 23, and 25-35 are pending.

Non-Statutory Double Patenting

In the Office Action, claims 3-7, 9-11, 13, 14, 18-20, 23, 25-29 and 31-34 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,122,648 (Roderick '648). It is Applicant's belief that the nonstatutory double patenting rejection will be overcome once the USPTO Paralegal Department examines the Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c) filed 10/04/2004.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

In the Office Action dated February 24, 2005, claims 27, 29, 32, 3-4, 6-7, 13-14, 18, 23, 28, 30, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 § U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Blinn et al (5,897,622) in view of Nazem (5,983,227) and in view of Bijnagte (5,235,680).

Claim 27, for example, recites:

An apparatus for the provisioning of information pages comprising:
a storage device having stored therein a plurality of executable instructions that implements an information server for receiving a uniform resource locator (URL) comprising **a server name immediately followed by a separator immediately followed by an identifier** interpreted by the information server as a resource identifier identifying a resource, and in response, constructing and issuing one or more queries including the

resource identifier to retrieve information corresponding to the identified resource and dynamically generating instructions to create the associated information page for the identified resource for provisioning to a client; and
a processor coupled to the storage device to execute the stored executable instructions.

In the Office Action, it is stated "Blinn does not specifically teach a resource identifier immediately following a server name," however, the Office Action goes on to say that "Nazem teaches a URL with a server name (quote.yahoo.com) with a resource identifier call to Dow Jones immediately after said server (quotes?SYMBOLS=^DJI&detailed=t)..." The URL referred to by the Office Action is as follows: www.quote.yahoo.com/quotes?SYMBOLS=^DJI& DJI&detailed=t.

The cited articles do not teach, suggest, or imply, alone or in combination, a URL with "a server name immediately followed by a separator immediately followed by an identifier" as recited in claim 27, for example. The URL from Nazem contains a path (quotes) followed by a query having certain criteria (SYMBOLS=^DJI&detailed=t). The path, which immediately follows the separator, is not an identifier but rather a specification of a location, in some hierarchical structure, in which the query will be executed. In order to develop a valid query and have it executed in the proper place using the teaching of Nazem, a user must have foreknowledge of this esoteric formula, or click on some sort of link which provides the correct formula.

Because the cited articles do not have an identifier immediately following the separator, the Applicant asserts that claim 27 is patentable over these articles for at least this reason. Therefore the Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw these rejections of this claim.

Furthermore, the remainder of the pending claims, i.e., claims 29, 32, 3-6, 9-11, 13-14, 18-20, 23, 25-26, and 28-32 either depend from, or include similar limitations to claim 27. Therefore, these claims are patentably distinct from the cited articles for at least the foregoing reasons and the Applicant hereby requests that the Examiner withdraw this rejection of these claims.

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims, as pending, are patentable over the cited articles. Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions concerning the present paper, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at (503) 796-2972. If any fees are due in connection with filing this paper, the Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 500393.

Respectfully submitted,
Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt, P.C.

Dated: May 20, 2005



Nathan R. Maki
Reg. No. 51110

Pacwest Center, Suite 1900
1211 SW Fifth Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: 503-222-9981